

Sept 23, 2007

Dear Cara, Lyndsie, & Natalie,

So you are seniors - Forensic Science majors?  
For real?

Why is the Book 'I' required reading?

Is it because the Teacher believes it to be true?  
100% the truth solely because an ex-sheriff  
wrote it?

Just because a Book says it is non-fiction, it  
doesn't mean it is without fiction. Jack Olson asked  
me for my story; 100% of my story. But decided  
to put his spin to it because of some reason.  
I believe it to be. He was told to create a lie  
in the telling of Keith Jespersen's story so no one  
would want to dig into the cases to find the  
real truth. Do you want the real truth?

If the answer is "yes", then this is what I  
want you to do: I want you and your class  
to dig into the Tanja Ann Bennett murder case  
and prove to me how a proper forensic team  
could support the guilt of Laverne Paulmic and  
John Sosnowski for that murder based on what  
was used to convict them. I want you to  
really hash out the case to come up with your  
own reports on it.

I'll give you the places to look. At least where  
to get information on the case. I'll tell you my  
story. Or you can read it in my Book that is  
being worked on now.

My previous letter stated several sources to go to. I will expand on this to some degree.

See my Book; "The Death of Innocence" By Jim DeMille and Keith Jespersen; tells the story as the Bennett murder at my hands — How I took care of the evidence that night and the next morning. Chapter two is the case on Lucrece Paulina and John Sosnowski, the next chapters in Part two is to explain me from a child all the way to the Bennett case. Part three is the life of me post the Bennett case all the way up to my arrest in March 1995. Part Four is my life dealing with justice. Part five is after prison 1996....

How they were convicted is troubling. It will blow you away to see how it all took place. You will scratch your heads over how malicious they were in doing this.

The LA Times Story September 1st, 1996 called: "A Question of Guilt" By Barry Siegel, is the Multnomah prosecutor Jim McIntyre's own excuse as why he feels he is not to blame for the wrongful convictions. He tells the story as it plays out in a hope that no one will catch on. But in doing this, he has cemented in time his reasons for what he did. Why he kept calling Paulina credible after all of the various confessions she kept changing.

You get that article off the net and send it to me. I'll number the paragraph clusters in a sequence that makes sense. I will also

inject in it my thinking on what was being said. Key evidence that needs to be looked into. Some digging on your part to find out what people will say. A few phone calls or messages over the net.

Olser only put a short chapter in the book on Duwamish Chapter Four: Another liar pg 295 to 297 At the end of the book, 3 pages. Wow! He should have had 60 pages. At least.

All you have to do is follow the story of the prosecutor and check out the evidence in the case.

Talk about proper courtroom procedure and the gathering of evidence. With the freedom of information act, I wonder if you can access the forensic files on the case? The search warrant? And all of the news articles? There is one real story that is eluding us. A true-crime Magazine article. Reading Jack's Book, now why wouldn't he put the real title of the story in his book? Chapter two: Fire Cracker Bandit pages 167-170

"Does Oregon have another Zodiac killer" By Frank Hughes would he be afraid of the truth?

Weird chapter... how many 365 acre tracts were there? Satvs Pass or was it Snoguanie pass. How ironic!

Find the story By Frank Hughes. Written for the October - November - December months 1994. Before my arrest. Published out of New York. Frank Hughes is probably a pen name for someone. Contact any or all true-crime - true Detective magazines and seek out Frank Hughes and get that

Article - pictures included.

Jim McIntyre believed that Luene knew most of what she knew from newspaper reports and on TV. Go to the Oregonian newspaper and dig up all of the press on the Bennett murder starting with a small story on a back page of the Metro section on Monday January 23, 1990 telling of a body found in the Columbia River Gorge with a rope tied around her neck. Follow those reports up to their arrest in late February 1990. Prove to me that there were news reports telling of the vital information.

The rope wasn't what killed her. It was put on her neck after she died. The forensic report would - should have stated that. But in Pauline's story, she killed Bennett with the rope.

The Vista House monument was never gone over by a forensic team to prove it was the crime scene. Get pictures of it and see if you can get reports of activity at the monument that Saturday night. The Multnomah County Sheriff's make hourly patrols along the area to keep an eye on the heavily travelled scenic area even at midnight. They should have a report that tells of normal activity on any Saturday night at the Vista House monument overlooking the Gorge. Luene said in her story that Bennett died in the doorway of the monument. January 21, 1990 Cold-dark - rough concrete, ... you have seen it if you ever have seen tourist info at the Columbia River Gorge.

If you really dive into this Bennett case, you will see why the Olsen Book is so messed up. I believe it is because they want my story to die on this case.

A reporter named Nadia Ferroni is working on my story that covers the Bennett case for publication in magazines and even a book deal. Possible producer may even look into a movie on it. I'll send her your letter and let her choose to contact you if you choose to dig into it and let me have a copy of what you put into a report on your findings. It could be interesting to have your discussion report in a book or magazine article.

Just this last week FBI profiler John Douglas and Dateline NBC contact me to see if I would be interested in an interview. I turned it down. He cannot help me. He only wants to capitalize off of my crimes. He cannot save the world with what I would tell him.

Optima Productions out of New York and wanted to interview me for the Discovery channel's "Most Evil". I declined them as well, Idiots!

I use the press when it is needed. Not just "So on the silver screen" just to get more "Hey look at me - film". I'm not a news hound like they think. I do want the truth to be told in the Bennett case. That is my mission in life. Maybe if enough people see the total misjustice in it, they will look at the

death penalty differently. Maybe get rid of it.

I often hoped that the Chicago's Northwestern University class would expose the Bennett case. But the case is solved and they often their help to innocent people still trying to get out of prison. So my hope that you will take on this project is great!

Go over the information and discuss the facts in the case. I'll go into great detail on how I was able to get them out of prison.

Did you know that even though Luene was set free, she was still legally convicted of the Bennett murder? They did not want her to go through another trial to expose the evidence and how it was obtained - proving by jury that it was malicious and that Multnomah County would be liable. No new trial. No apologies...

What knowledge of forensic science did I know at the time of my crimes. I watched Perry Mason. I was naive to what was expected of me .... I was doing it all by guesswork. Only in county jail did I learn evidence traits. How the system really worked, I came away with a better than most understanding of how it works in dealing with it as I did. Jack Ches didn't really touch on the root of my plan. And my plan worked even though he called it "Lewacy".

later ~~her~~